The 2012 HL7 Interface Technology Survey Results provide a current view of the HL7 interface market within healthcare. These survey results topics include technology, organizational priorities, meaningful use preparedness, and perspectives on interface engine vendors. The survey results are presented from the key perspectives - CIO/CTOs, IT Managers, and HL7 professionals.

The survey results present a summary of the data collected during a 14 day period in August 2012 open to all participants through our website, [www.corehealthtechnologies.com](http://www.corehealthtechnologies.com). We encouraged participation with email invitations and through various social media outlets.

Over 1,350 individuals participated in this survey. All participants live and work in the United States and are employed by healthcare organizations, predominantly providers, using HL7 interfaces.
Observations by Core Health Technologies

- **HL7 Professionals have strong experience, but low tenure.** Of the HL7 professionals participating in this survey, 60% have 10+ years of experience within healthcare. We see an interesting contrast the strength of their experience the fact that 48% of the these respondents have less than 3 years tenure in their current organization.

- **Interface engine usage is diverse.** While Cloverleaf stays the leader in market share, we continue to see many engines being used. One interesting observation is that the Oracle/Sun/SeeBeyond product line of DataGate, e*Gate, ICAN, and JCAPS has declined pretty significantly over the last three years, from 34% in 2010, passing through 17% in 2011, and now rating around below 7% in 2012.

- **Potential to improve with current interface engine.** Nearly half of all respondents (49.5% of CIO/CTOs, 46.6% of IT Managers and 42.7% of HL7 professionals) state that while they are utilizing their interface engine for what it was initially intended, they know it has more capability they are not using.

- **Lack of organization-wide awareness of key industry initiatives.** As expected we see organizations achieving and advancing toward Meaningful Use. One potentially concerning statistic is that 31% of HL7 professional respondents claim they do not know their organization’s preparedness to achieve Meaningful Use. An additional 4% stated they didn’t know what meaningful use is.

- **Security is important, but not a top organizational priority.** The answers to security questions woven throughout the survey indicate that while the category of “information security” is not a stand-alone organizational priority, it is part of everything an organizations does. Only 2% of respondents stated information security was one of their top 3 priorities. At the same time, when asked how information security affects their top priorities, 89% of CIOs and 90% of IT Managers stated that information security is either integral to at least one their organization’s top priorities, or it is their top priority.

- **Healthcare Integration continues to be integral to every organization and increasing in activities.** 90% of CIO/CTOs, 91% of IT Managers, and 90% of HL7 Professionals responded that integration was either the top priority, integral to a top priority, or integral to all their priorities. Healthcare integration activity also continues to be heavy. Over 60% of each respondent stated their integration activities will increase over the next 12 months. Less than 10% of respondents stated healthcare integration activities will decrease at all.

- **Lack of staff retention strategy.** About 1/3 of all organizations currently do not have a staff retention strategy and another 23%+ do not have strategies but are currently working on them. While anecdotal, we question whether that the lack of retention strategies and low organizational tenure of HL7 professionals could be connected.
Tenure in Healthcare
How long have you been in the healthcare sector?

Tenure in Organization
What is your tenure within your current organization?
Employment Preference
Which best describes your organization’s HL7 employment preference?

- **51.3%**: We develop and maintain our HL7 interfaces internally and only utilize our full-time HL7 interface staff.
- **34.5%**: We augment our full-time HL7 interface staff with contractors when workload, time, or lack of expertise dictates a need.
- **7.4%**: We do not have HL7 interface professionals on staff but utilize contractors/consultants to build and maintain our HL7 interfaces when needed.
- **4.1%**: All of our HL7 interface effort is consolidated within another group in our parent organization outside my responsibility.
- **2.7%**: We have outsourced all of our HL7 interfaces to one organization.
HL7 Technologies
Select all specific HL7 interface technologies which your organization utilizes

- BizTalk [Microsoft®] 4.1%
- Cloverleaf [Lawson (Quovadx)] 13.1%
- Corepoint, NeoTool [Corepoint Health] 4.2%
- e-Biz Impact [Sybase] 1.4%
- EasyConnect [Iatric Systems] 1.8%
- eLink [Allscripts (Eclipsys)] 5.3%
- Ensemble [Intersystems] 3.5%
- Epic Bridges [Epic] 10.2%
- Fusion Middleware [Oracle] 2.3%
- Iguana™ [iNTERFACEWARE] 5.7%
- iWay [iWay Software] 0.4%
- JCAPS/ICAN/e*Gate/DataGate...
- Mirth Connect [Mirth Corporation] 7.6%
- Open Engine [Cerner] 5.5%
- OPENLink [Siemens] 5.8%
- Pathways [McKesson] 4.4%
- Point-to-Point 11.7%
- Rhapsody [Orion Health] 4.5%
- I don’t know 1.9%
Current Interface Engine Status
How would you best describe your current status of interface engines?

### CIOs/CTOs

- **76.6%**: We plan to stay with our current vendor and will upgrade as appropriate
- **13.2%**: We have decided to change to a new interface engine but have not selected the engine
- **4.7%**: We have selected a different interface engine but have not started the process to change
- **3.1%**: We are currently in the process of changing to a different interface engine
- **1.6%**: We are actively considering our options
- **0.8%**: We have recently completed a change to a new interface engine

### IT Managers

- **68.6%**: We plan to stay with our current vendor and will upgrade as appropriate
- **12.6%**: We have decided to change to a new interface engine but have not selected the engine
- **9.1%**: We have selected a different interface engine but have not started the process to change
- **6.3%**: We are currently in the process of changing to a different interface engine
- **2.3%**: We are actively considering our options
- **1.1%**: We have recently completed a change to a new interface engine

### HL7 Professionals

- **73.5%**: We plan to stay with our current vendor and will upgrade as appropriate
- **11.7%**: We have decided to change to a new interface engine but have not selected the engine
- **5.9%**: We have selected a different interface engine but have not started the process to change
- **3.7%**: We are currently in the process of changing to a different interface engine
- **3.0%**: We are actively considering our options
- **2.2%**: We have recently completed a change to a new interface engine
Interface Engine Utilization
To what degree is your organization utilizing your interface engine(s)?

**CIOs/CTOs**
- 49.5% effectively and to its fullest capability
- 32.3%
- 8.7%
- 4.7%
- 2.4%
- 2.4%

**IT Managers**
- 46.6%
- 43.7%
- 1.1%
- 4.6%
- 2.9%

**HL7 Professionals**
- 42.7%
- 42.6%
- 6.1%
- 2.5%
- 4.5%

We are utilizing our interface engine effectively and to its fullest capability

We are utilizing our interface engine effectively for what we initially intended, but know there is more capability that we are not using

We have not achieved our initial utilization objectives and have exceeded our timeline

We are using our interface engine, but I am not aware of the full product capability

We are still in our initial implementation of this interface engine

I am not aware of the degree to which we utilize our interface engine
Integration Team Health

Please rate the health of your current integration resources for the following:
(1 = poor; 10 = superior)

Technical Capability
(competence to get the job done)

Flexibility
(ability to shift resources with priorities)

Stability
(current staff satisfaction and loyalty)

Future Health
(programs to train/grow staff for future)

- Professionals
- Managers
- CIOs
Meaningful Use Preparedness
How well is your organization prepared to achieve Meaningful Use and the associated financial incentive?

CIOs/CTOs
- 42.6%: We have achieved Phase 1
- 14.7%: We are currently on track for Phase 1 certification in 2013.
- 14.0%: We are currently in the ONC certification process for Phase 1
- 14.0%: We do not have a current milestone set but it is a priority
- 7.8%: We do not have a current milestone set and it is not a current priority
- 3.1%: We do not know what MU is
- 3.9%: I do not know

IT Managers
- 41.9%: We have achieved Phase 1
- 19.2%: We are currently on track for Phase 1 certification in 2012.
- 12.8%: We are currently in the ONC certification process for Phase 1
- 8.7%: We do not have a current milestone set but it is a priority
- 5.8%: We do not have a current milestone set and it is not a current priority
- 7.0%: We do not know what MU is
- 4.7%: I do not know

HL7 Professionals
- 30.7%: We have achieved Phase 1
- 28.8%: We are currently on track for Phase 1 certification in 2013.
- 14.0%: We are currently in the ONC certification process for Phase 1
- 14.0%: We do not have a current milestone set but it is a priority
- 6.9%: We do not have a current milestone set and it is not a current priority
- 8.2%: We do not know what MU is
- 4.3%: I do not know
- 3.6%: I do not know
Organizational Priorities
What are the top three priorities/objectives for your organization this year?

CIOs/CTOs

- Meaningful Use/Integration: 17.7%
- Specific Application Implementation: 41.2%
- Team/Staff/Training: 6.2%
- ICD10: 1.8%
- Maintain System Performance: 33.1%
- Growth/Sales/Expense: 7.6%
- Security: 1.8%
- Patient/Customer: 3.8%

IT Managers

- Meaningful Use/Integration: 16.5%
- Specific Application Implementation: 25.7%
- Team/Staff/Training: 33.1%
- ICD10: 1.8%
- Maintain System Performance: 9.7%
- Growth/Sales/Expense: 5.1%
- Security: 3.6%
- Patient/Customer: 6.2%

HL7 Professionals

- Meaningful Use/Integration: 32.1%
- Specific Application Implementation: 17.0%
- Team/Staff/Training: 17.1%
- ICD10: 1.6%
- Maintain System Performance: 16.3%
- Growth/Sales/Expense: 8.0%
- Security: 5.8%
- Patient/Customer: 2.2%
Healthcare Integration Impact to Priorities
How does “healthcare integration” affect your priorities/objectives?

### CIOs/CTOs
- Integration is our top priority: 59.2%
- Integration is integral to at least one of our priorities: 19.2%
- Integration has little effect on us achieving our top priorities: 12.5%
- Integration has some effect on us achieving our top priorities: 5.8%
- Integration has no effect on us achieving our top priorities: 0.8%

### IT Managers
- Integration is our top priority: 66.9%
- Integration is integral to at least one of our priorities: 13.4%
- Integration has little effect on us achieving our top priorities: 10.8%
- Integration has some effect on us achieving our top priorities: 5.1%
- Integration has no effect on us achieving our top priorities: 0.6%

### HL7 Professionals
- Integration is our top priority: 51.4%
- Integration is integral to at least one of our priorities: 23.8%
- Integration has little effect on us achieving our top priorities: 15.6%
- Integration has some effect on us achieving our top priorities: 5.6%
- Integration has no effect on us achieving our top priorities: 0.9%

Legend:
- Red: Integration is our top priority
- Black: Integration is integral to at least one of our priorities
- Orange: Integration is integral to all of our priorities and cannot be separated
- Green: Integration has little effect on us achieving our top priorities
- Blue: Integration has some effect on us achieving our top priorities
- Yellow: Integration has no effect on us achieving our top priorities
Integration Activity Outlook - Next 12 Months
Looking forward to the next 12 months, how will your HL7 integration activities compare with the past 12 months?

CIOs/CTOs
- 2.5% increase significantly
- 29.7% stay the about the same
- 35.5% decrease slightly/moderately
- 2.5% decrease significantly

IT Managers
- 1.2% increase significantly
- 30.9% stay the about the same
- 24.7% decrease slightly/moderately
- 7.4% decrease significantly

HL7 Professionals
- 4.0% increase significantly
- 31.6% stay the about the same
- 29.2% decrease slightly/moderately
- 6.3% decrease significantly
- 28.9% decrease significantly
Information Security Impact to Priorities
How does “information security” affect your priorities/objectives?

- Information security is our top priority
  - CIOs/CTOs: 10.8%
  - IT Managers: 13.8%

- Information security is integral to all of our priorities and cannot be separated
  - CIOs/CTOs: 72.5%
  - IT Managers: 69.4%

- Information security is integral to at least one of our priorities
  - CIOs/CTOs: 5.8%
  - IT Managers: 7.5%

- Information security has some effect on us achieving our top priorities
  - CIOs/CTOs: 10.8%
  - IT Managers: 5.6%

- Integration has little effect on us achieving our top priorities
  - CIOs/CTOs: 3.8%
  - IT Managers: 0.0%

- Integration has no effect on us achieving our top priorities
  - CIOs/CTOs: 0.0%
  - IT Managers: 0.0%

Information Security Activity Outlook - Next 12 Months
Looking forward to the next 12 months, how will your “information security” activities compare with the past 12 months?

- Our information security activities/efforts will increase significantly
  - CIOs/CTOs: 43.8%
  - IT Managers: 18.5%

- Our information security activities/efforts will increase slightly/moderately
  - CIOs/CTOs: 39.5%
  - IT Managers: 23.1%

- Our information security activities/efforts will stay the about the same
  - CIOs/CTOs: 39.5%
  - IT Managers: 30.6%

- Our information security activities/efforts will decrease slightly/moderately
  - CIOs/CTOs: 1.7%
  - IT Managers: 1.9%

- Our information security activities/efforts will decrease significantly
  - CIOs/CTOs: 0.8%
  - IT Managers: 0.6%
What Keeps You Awake at Night?
In regard to your work responsibilities, goals, and priorities: What keeps you up at night?

CIOs/CTOs
- Integration: 34.9%
- Achieving Internal Requirements: 18.6%
- Outside Requirements: 14.0%
- Security: 7.0%
- Staff: 7.0%
- Other: 14.4%

IT Managers
- Integration: 32.0%
- Achieving Internal Requirements: 14.4%
- Outside Requirements: 7.2%
- Security: 14.4%
- Staff: 14.4%
- Other: 3.1%
**Vendor Confidence**

How confident are you in the HL7 interface vendor that your organization uses?

- Fully confident, they are a leader. They are and will stay a leader in the market.
- Fully confident, they are a smaller or newer player, but they understand the market and growing in importance.
- Somewhat confident. They understand the market and will survive.
- Not confident. I believe this vendor is not a leader, or once was and is now declining in awareness, understanding, or desire to lead in this market.
- I am not aware of my vendor’s vision forward.

---

**Graphs:**

- **CIOs/CTOs:** 44.9%, 15.3%, 5.2%, 9.3%, 7.6%
- **IT Managers:** 54.2%, 16.1%, 12.42%, 11.6%, 12.9%
- **HL7 Professionals:** 43.60%, 19.90%, 12.42%, 7.48%, 16.60%
## Future Market Leaders

What Interface Engine/Tool do you believe will be the market leader over the next 2-5 years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>CIOs/CTOs</th>
<th>IT Managers</th>
<th>HL7 Professionals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BizTalk [Microsoft®]</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloverleaf [Lawson (Quovadx)]</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corepoint, NeoTool [Corepoint Health]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Biz Impact [Sybase]</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EasyConnect [Iatric Systems]</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eLink [Allscripts (Eclipsys)]</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensemble [Intersystems]</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epic Bridges [Epic]</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Middleware [Oracle]</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iguana™ [iNTERFACEWARE]</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iWay [iWay Software]</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCAPS/ICAN/e*Gate/DataGate [Oracle/Sun/SeeBeyond]</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirth Connect [Mirth Corporation]</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Engine [Cerner]</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPENLink [Siemens]</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathways [McKesson]</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhapsody [Orion Health]</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Market Leaders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>CIOs/CTOs</th>
<th>IT Managers</th>
<th>HL7 Professionals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BizTalk [Microsoft®]</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloverleaf [Lawson (Quovadx)]</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corepoint, NeoTool [Corepoint Health]</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Biz Impact [Sybase]</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EasyConnect [Iatric Systems]</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eLink [Allscripts (Eclipsys)]</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensemble [Intersystems]</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epic Bridges [Epic]</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Middleware [Oracle]</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iguana™ [iNTERFACEWARE]</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iWay [iWay Software]</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCAPS/ICAN/e*Gate/DataGate [Oracle/Sun/SeeBeyond]</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirth Connect [Mirth Corporation]</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Engine [Cerner]</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPENLink [Siemens]</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathways [McKesson]</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhapsody [Orion Health]</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Future Market Leaders

What Interface Engine/Tool do you believe will be the market leader over the next 2-5 years?

**Combined Total and 1st Choice Answers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface Engine/Tool</th>
<th>Combined View</th>
<th>1st Choices Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BizTalk [Microsoft®]</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloverleaf [Lawson (Quovadx)]</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corepoint, NeoTool [Corepoint Health]</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Biz Impact [Sybase]</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EasyConnect [Iatric Systems]</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eLink [Allscripts (Eclipsys)]</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensemble [Intersystems]</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epic Bridges [Epic]</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Middleware [Oracle]</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iguana™ [iINTERFACEWARE]</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iWay [iWay Software]</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCAPS/ICAN/e*Gate/DataGate [Oracle/Sun/SeeBeyond]</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirth Connect [Mirth Corporation]</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Engine [Cerner]</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPENLink [Siemens]</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathways [McKesson]</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhapsody [Orion Health]</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Integration Team Tenure
Combined View - What is the average tenure of your integration staff?

We are currently working on our strategy. We are not planning to address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

We are currently working on our strategy. We plan to address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

Yes, we have a strategy, plans, and process in place across our IT department. Our plan addresses variables that are specific to our integration team members.

Yes, we have a strategy, plans, and process in place across our IT department. Our plan does not address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

We do not have retention strategy.

Staff Retention
Do you currently have a staff retention strategy?

We are currently working on our strategy. We are not planning to address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

We are currently working on our strategy. We plan to address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

Yes, we have a strategy, plans, and process in place across our IT department. Our plan addresses variables that are specific to our integration team members.

Yes, we have a strategy, plans, and process in place across our IT department. Our plan does not address variables that are specific to our integration team members.

We do not have retention strategy.
HEALTHCARE INTEGRATION and HEALTH INFORMATION SECURITY

That’s what we do!
Connecting and Protecting Health Information...

While we’re known for growing up in healthcare integration, the fact is that our roots are grounded in a sound business model and solid core values. Core Health Technologies’ unique business model of having a tight focus on a very specific skill discipline, growing an expertise within that discipline, and then investing into that specific community has helped us build a platform that produces results quickly and cost effectively. Our business model has also resonated with healthcare organizations across the country as they experience our values - results, excellence, leadership - in the execution of our day-to-day performance of business.

Healthcare Integration is where we built our reputation around a tightly focused team. While that team and our ability to provide solutions continues to grow in healthcare integration, our clients have asked us to duplicate our ability to produce results quickly in other areas they find challenging. One of the areas clients have asked for help is health information security. Today’s dynamic market conditions within healthcare continue to introduce new challenges to the security of health information.

As a result of these challenges, and clients asking for a trusted solution provider, Core Health Technologies has expanded into health information security with the same sound business model and strong core values. Today, we can produce results with excellence, and provide the leadership our clients need in healthcare integration and health information security.
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